Case	Issue	Basis for Venue and Implication	Was Venue Proper?
Treehouse Avatar LLC v. Valve Corp., No. 15-cv-427, 2017 WL 5564153 (D. Del. Nov. 20, 2017)	Online Businesses/ Websites	Maintaining an internet gaming platform which allows players to host a server on their computer does not qualify as a regular and established place of business.	Improper venue
BMC Software, Inc. v. Cherwell Software, LLC, No. 17-cv-01074 (E.D. Va. Dec. 21, 2017)	Online Businesses/Websites	A backup server on a rented rack in a warehouse does not qualify as a regular and established place of business.	Improper venue
Peerless Network, Inc. v. Blitz Telecom Consulting, LLC, No. 17-cv-1725, 2018 WL 1478047 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 26, 2018)	Online Businesses/Websites	A shelf containing defendant's telecommunications equipment through which telecommunications traffic is directed does not qualify as a regular and established place of business.	Improper venue
Precision Fabrics Group, Inc. v. Tietex Int'l, Ltd., No. 1:13-cv-645, 2017 WL 5176355 (M.D.N.C. Nov. 7, 2017)	Work from Home Employees	The home office of an employee, where employer did not represent the residence as its own place of business; employee maintained no inventory, sold no products directly to customers, and did not provide technical assistance to customers; and employer exercised no control over employee's residence, was not a regular and established place of business.	Improper venue

Free-Flow Packaging Int'l, Inc. v. Automated Packaging Sys., Inc., No. 17-cv-01803, 2017 WL 4155347 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 29, 2017)	Work from Home Employees	Home of offices of employees were employees' choice of residence and not required by employer. Additionally, the district was only a small portion of the geographic area that employees covered.	Improper venue
BillingNetwork Patent, Inc. v. Modernizing Med., Inc., No. 17-5636, 2017 WL 5146008 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 6, 2017)	Work from Home Employees	Five work from home employees was not enough to establish venue, where defendant does not financially contribute for the employees' home, require them to live in the particular district, or publically advertise the employee's homes as places it conducts business.	Improper venue
Hand Held Prods., Inc. v. Code Corp., No. 2:17-cv- 167, 2017 WL 3085859 (D.S.C. July 18, 2017)	Work from Home Employees	Defendant had a single, recently hired employee residing in the district who does not make sales or interact with customers in South Carolina and who maintains no inventory in South Carolina.	Improper venue
X2Y Attenuators, LLC v. Intel Corp., 1-17-cv-00164 (PAWD June 25, 2018, Order) (Bissoon, USDJ)	Work from Home Employee	Defendant had no possessory interest in home, no involvement regarding where employee lived, employment not conditioned on living in District, home not used to store or distribute products; home not held out to be place of Defendant's business, employee received no	Improper venue

		T	
		business visitors at	
		home and had no staff	
		there.	
	Housing four	The University owns	Improper venue
	employees at a	and controls the	
	university lab in the	facility; employees	
	District	designated as visitors;	
		funding by Defendants	
		to University covers	
		tuition and research	
		support, not the cost of	
		housing employees; lab	
		identified as University	
		lab not Defendant's	
		facility; and lab not	
		publicly advertised or	
		internally classified as	
	0.1. 7	Defendant's facility.	*
CAO Lighting, Inc. v. Light	Sales Representatives	Sales representatives	Improper venue
Efficient Design, No. 4:16-	and Distributors	who only visit Idaho	
ev-00482, 2017 WL 4556717		occasionally, and are	
(D. Idaho Oct. 11, 2017)		not direct employees of	
		defendant, do not	
		create a regular and	
		established place of	
		business.	
Regents of Univ. of Minn. v.	Sales Representatives	Twelve employees in	Improper venue
Gilead Scis., Inc., No. 16-cv-	and Distributors	sales and marketing	
2915, 2017 WL 4773150 (D.		positions, who work	
Minn. Oct. 20, 2017)		from home, where they	
, ,		do not use	
		administrative services	
		in the state, do not	
		stock products in the	
		state, service	
		customer's at the	
		customer's physical	
		place, and where the	
		employer does not	
		ratify or participate in	
		the selection of the	
		employee's home,	
		cannot create a regular	
		and established place of	
		business.	

Cellular Dynamics Int'l, Inc. v. Lonza Walkersville, Inc., No. 17-cv-0027, 2017 WL 4046348 (W.D. Wis. Sept. 12, 2017)	Sales Representatives and Distributors	Sales managers who visit and solicit customers in the district, where there is no physical presence, no employees, and no inventory in the district, cannot create a regular and established place of business.	Improper venue
Reflection, LLC v. Spire Collective LLC, No. 17-cv- 1603, 2018 WL 310184, at *4 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 5, 2018)	Sales Representatives and Distributors	There was no regular and established place of business based on the use of an Amazon fulfillment center in the district where the fulfillment centers are not physical locations of defendant and where defendant had no control over which fulfillment centers its products would go to.	Improper venue
Hildebrand v. Wilmar Corp., No. 17-cv-02821, 2018 WL 1535505 (D. Colo. Mar. 29, 2018)	Sales Representatives and Distributors	Physical locations of distributors do not establish regular and established place of business for defendant.	Improper venue
SportPet Designs Inc. v. Cat1st Corp., No. 17-cv- 0554, 2018 WL 1157925 (E.D. Wis. Mar. 2, 2018)	Sales Representatives and Distributors	An Amazon fulfillment center is not defendant's place of business where defendant does not own or control the facility and does not employ anyone there.	Improper venue
Jarratt v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 5:16-cv-05302, 2017 WL 3437782 (W.D. Ark. Aug. 10, 2017)	Physical Presence	Corporate headquarters are inarguably a regular and established place of business.	Yes, proper venue
Intellectual Ventures II LLC, v. Fedex Corp., No. 2:16-cv- 00980, 2017 WL 5630023 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 22, 2017)	Physical Presence	The various FedEx locations established in the district are not merely places from	Yes, proper venue

			,
		which Defendants	
		happen to carry out	
		business because of an	
		employee or a	
		customer. These	
		locations are stores and	
		facilities operated by	
		Defendants for their	
		business purposes and	
		held out to consumers	
		(advertised on website	
		and branded from the	
		outside with the FedEx	
		logo) as places from	
		which customers can	
		tap into Defendants'	
		vast shipping network.	
Mallinckrodt IP v. B. Braun	Physical Presence	The defendant did not	Improper venue
	1 mysical i resence	have a physical	improper venue
Medical Inc., No. 17-cv-365,		presence in Delaware	
2017 WL 6383610 (D. Del.		through its appointment	
Dec. 14, 2017)			
		of an agent for service	
	Distinct Commonts	of process.	V
	Distinct Corporate	There was a possibility	Venue related
	Entities	that defendant and its	discovery allowed
		subsidiaries may not	
		maintain separate	
		corporate formalities	
		where publically	
		available information	
		shows that the	
		companies share	
		executive officers.	
Combalance Inc.	Distinct Co. 4	T1 11	T
Symbology Innovations, LLC	Distinct Corporate	Three stores owned by	Improper venue
v. Lego Sys., Inc., 282 F.	Entities	a subsidiary, where	
Supp. 3d 916 (E.D. Va.		there was no evidence	
2017)		presented that the	
		corporate separateness	
	1	between defendant and	
		its subsidiaries was	
		its subsidiaries was mere fiction, did not	
		its subsidiaries was mere fiction, did not create venue.	
Post Consumer Brands, LLC	Distinct Corporate	its subsidiaries was mere fiction, did not create venue. Except where corporate	Improper venue
Post Consumer Brands, LLC v. General Mills, Inc., No. 4:17-cv-2471, 2017 WL	Distinct Corporate Entities	its subsidiaries was mere fiction, did not create venue.	Improper venue

4865936 (E.D. Mo. Oct. 27, 2017)		relationship exists, the presence of a corporate relative in the district does not establish venue.	
UCB, Inc. v. Mylan Techs., Inc., No. 17-cv-322, 2017 WL 5985559 (D. Del. Dec. 1, 2017)	Distinct Corporate Entities	There was a possibility that one or more of the 40 subsidiaries of defendants in Delaware have places that are attributable to defendants.	Venue related discovery allowed
Soverain IP, LLC v. AT&T, Inc., No. 2:17-cv-00293, 2017 WL 5126158 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 31, 2017), report and recommendation adopted, No. 2:17-cv-00293, 2017 WL 6452802 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 18, 2017)	Distinct Corporate Entities	AT&T Services and AT&T Inc. do not lack formal corporate separateness.	Improper venue
T-Jat Sys. 2006, Ltd. v. Expedia, Inc. (DE), No. 16- cv-581, 2018 WL 1525496 (D. Del. Mar. 28, 2018)	Distinct Corporate Entities	Regular and established place of related business entity is not the basis for venue for defendant.	Improper venue
Jarratt v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 5:16-cv-05302, 2017 WL 3437782 (W.D. Ark. Aug. 10, 2017)	Cases Where Venue was Found	Corporate headquarters inarguably a regular and established place of business.	Yes, proper venue
Intellectual Ventures II LLC, v. Fedex Corp., No. 2:16-cv-00980, 2017 WL 5630023 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 22, 2017)	Cases Where Venue was Found	The various FedEx locations established in this District are not merely places from which Defendants happen to carry out business because of an employee or a customer. These locations are stores and facilities operated by Defendants for their business purposes and held out to consumers (advertised on website and branded from the	Yes, proper venue

Geodynamics, Inc., v. Dynaenergetics US, Inc., No. 2:17-cv-00371, 2017 WL 6452803 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 18, 2017)	Cases Where Venue was Found	outside with the FedEx logo) as places from which customers can tap into Defendants' vast shipping network. Defendant had one employee in Tyler and was moving a distribution center to Marshall.	Yes, proper venue
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Mylan Pharm. Inc., No. 17- cv-379, 2017 WL 3980155 (D. Del. Sept. 11, 2017)	Activity Related to Infringement	The business activity which creates a regular and established place of business does not have to be related to the infringement. Infringement for	Venue related discovery allowed Venue related
		ANDA filers includes the future act, the intent to market in a particular district.	discovery allowed
Blackbird Tech LLC v. Cloudflare, Inc., No. 17-cv- 283, 2017 WL 4543783 (D. Del. Oct. 11, 2017)	Activity Related to Infringement	Defendants made and used the accused functionality in California and not all of the alleged infringing activity needs to have occurred within California so long as some act of infringement took place there.	Venue found in CA
Galderma Labs., LP v. Actavis Labs. UT, Inc., 3:17- cv-01076 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 17, 2017)	Activity Related to Infringement	The act of infringement is the submission of the ANDA for ANDA/Hatch-Waxman cases.	Improper venue
Talsk Research Inc. v. Evernote Corp., No. 16-cv- 2167, 2017 WL 4269004 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 26, 2017)	Timing of Venue Determination	Venue is lodged in the district if defendant had a regular and established place of business at the time the cause of action accrued and the suit is filed within a reasonable time thereafter.	Improper venue

Personal Audio, LLC v. Google, Inc., 280 F. Supp. 3d (E.D. Tex. 2017)	Timing of Venue Determination	Venue is analyzed based on facts as of the date suit is filed.	Improper venue
ParkerVision, Inc. v. Apple Inc., 3:15-cv-01477 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 9, 2018)	Timing of Venue Determination	Venue is determined based on the facts at the time the cause of action accrued and if the plaintiff filed the complaint within a reasonable time thereafter.	Yes, proper venue
Infinity Computer Products, Inc. v. OKI Data Americas, Inc., 2:12-cv-06797 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 23, 2018)	Timing of Venue Determination	Venue is not determined within a short while after the cause of action accrued.	Improper venue
In re BigCommerce, Inc., 890 F.3d 978, 986 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	Multiple Districts	Corporations "reside" only in the single judicial district within a state where they maintain a principal place of business, or where their registered office is located.	Yes, proper venue
California Expanded Metal Prod. Co. v. Klein, No.1:80- cv-0242, 2018 WL 2041955 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 30, 2018)	Multiple Causes of Action	Where patent and non- patent claims are asserted in a case, the venue provisions in section 1400(b) for patent claims are controlling.	Improper venue